## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Session-1 Procedures and Process reengineering: Need, Scope and Limits		
1.	Krishna Iyer. (2010). <b>The Processual Jurisprudence of People's Causes</b> . Equal Justice and Forensic Process: Truth and Myth, 51-94.	
2.	Krishna Iyer. (2001). <b>Judicial Power- A Management Mess?</b> <i>A Judge's Extra-Judicial Miscellany</i> , 27-41.	
3.	An Introduction to Business Process Enhancement. Court Business Process Enhancement Guide: An Aid to Process Improvement and Process Reengineering For Judges, Court Managers, and Court Information Technology Directors. (2003). National Center for State Courts, 1-15.	
4.	Stephenson, M. (2007). <b>Judicial Reform in Developing Economies: Constraints and Opportunities</b> . The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, 1-17.	
5.	Broderick, J., Hall, D. <b>What is Reengineering and Why is it Necessary?</b> <i>National Center for State Courts.</i> Retrieved from http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1622	
6	Clarke, T. Reengineering: The Importance of Establishing Principles. National Center for State Courts. Retrieved From-http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1627	
7.	Clark, T. Reengineering: Governance and Structure. National Center for State Courts. Retrieved from- http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1630	
8.	Hall, D. Reengineering Lessons from the Field. National Center for State Courts. Retrieved from http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1625	
9.	Agenda for the Ninth Meeting of the Advisory Council of the National Mission for Justice Delivery and Legal Reforms, 24-30.	
10.	National Mission for Delivery of Justice and Legal Reform, 8-34.	
	Session-2 Procedures and Process reengineering: Writ Jurisdic	tion
1.	<b>Procedural Delay in Writs: Service</b> , (Chapter-III) Law Commission of India-79 <sup>th</sup> Report, 62-64.	

2.	Petitions for Writs Before High Courts: Interim Stay,		
	(Chapter-5) Law Commission of India- 58th Report, 50-68.		
3.	Yadav, Abhe Singh. (2009). <b>Admissions: The Hibernation of Writs</b> . Law of Writs: Jurisdiction and its Efficacy, 169-199.		
Session-3  Civil, Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction and Civil Original Side			
1.	Civil Appellate Procedure, (Chapter-16) Law Commission of India- 14th Report, 383-412.		
2.	Ordinary and Extraordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction of the High Courts, etc. (Chapter-I, II, III, IV & VI) The Arrears Committee Report, 1990.		
3.	Appellate Jurisdiction under the Code of Civil procedure, Law Commission of India- 79th Report, 23-55.		
Session-4 Revisional Jurisdiction and matters covered U/S 482 of Cr.P.C.			
1.	<b>Civil Revisions</b> (Chpater-17), Law Commission of India- 14 <sup>th</sup> Report, 419-430.		
2.	<b>Civil Revisions</b> (Chapter-12), Law Commission of India- 79 <sup>th</sup> Report, 55-60.		
3.	Revisions (Chapter-5), The Arrears Committee, 1990, 28-30.  SESSION-5		
	Listing and Mentioning of Matters		
1.	An overview of the updated scheme for rationalization of assignment cum listing of cases before the Benches of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh. Retrieved from-http://mphc.gov.in/PDF/Scheme_21%20July%202016.pdf		
2.	Practice and Procedure: Classification, Grouping and Listing of Cases, (Chapter VIII) The Arrears Committee Report, 1990, 34-35.		
	Session-6		
Adjournments and Backlog of Cases			
1.	Arun Mohan. (2013). Preventing Court Delays- How to make best use of existing procedures, 18-59.		
2.	Arrears and Backlog: Creating Additional Judicial (wo)manpower. Law Commission of India-245th Report, 1-9.		

	,	
3.	<b>Arrears,</b> (Chapter-1) Law Commission of India- 79 <sup>th</sup> Report, 1-12.	
4.	Causes for the Accumulating of Arrears in the High Courts. The Arrears Committee, 1990, 1-4.	
5.	NCMS's note on Calculating Judges Strength as per the direction of SC in <i>Imtiyaz Ahmad vs. State of UP</i>	
6.	Imtiyaz Ahmad vs. State of UP (2012) 2 SCC 688	
7.	<b>Decoding Delay: Analysis of Court Data</b> (2016). State of the Indian Judiciary (by DAKSH).	
	Session-7	
	ICT and Process reengineering	
1.	Crawford, Christopher, (2011). <b>Emerging Technology Trends that Will Transform Courts</b> . <i>National Center for State Courts</i> .  Reference URL- http://ncsc.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/tech/id/770	
2.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, (2016). <b>Technology and Timely Justice</b> . <i>Common Cause</i> , Vol. XXXV No. 1, 5-12.	
3.	Justice R. C. Chavan, (2014). Using Information and Communication Technology in Governance- An Experiment in Maharashtra Judiciary (Chapter-1). Cries in Wilderness, 17-27.	
4.	Justice R. C. Chavan, (2014). <b>E-Courts Project: Citizen at the Center of Court Processes</b> (Chapter-2). <i>Cries in Wilderness</i> , 28-33.	
5.	Atul Kaushik, (2016). Bringing the 'E' to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India. State of the Indian Judiciary: A report by DAKSH, Section-1, 25-40.	
6.	Arun Mohan, (2013). <b>Computer and Technology: Video Recording of Court Proceedings</b> . Excerpts from the forthcoming volumes of the multi-volume work (Justice, Courts and Delays).	
7.	Prakash, BN. (2014). <b>E-Judiciary: A Step towards Modernization in Indian Legal System</b> . Journal of Education and Social Policy, 1(1), 111-124.	

	Session-8 Standardizing Procedures and Process Protocols: The way forward		
1.	<b>Summary of Recommendations</b> (Chapter-IX), The Arrears Committee, 1990, 118-126.		
2.	<b>Summary of Matters Dealt With</b> (Chapter-21), Law Commission of India- 79 <sup>th</sup> Report, 78-89.		
3.	<b>Functioning of the Judiciary</b> , National Commission to Review the Working of the Constitution [Extracts].		
4.	<b>Vision Statement,</b> The National Consultation for Strengthening the Judiciary towards Reducing pendency and Delays, 2009		
5.	Correlating Inefficiency and Delay. (2017). Inefficiency and Judicial Delay New Insights from The Delhi High Court. Vidhi Center for Legal Policy.		